



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	--------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

14

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ARDIN H. MARSCHEL (Ex) (3)
(2) ARDIN H. MARSCHEL (Ex) (4)

Date of Interview 1-31-01

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description _____

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending in general

Identification of prior art discussed: Fair, Inc. (PN 5,81,231)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A message to all applicants to file a response to the last office action within one month from the date of this interview. A formal written response to the last office action is not required unless it is waived. The examiner will consider the response and issue a new office action (non-final) as soon as possible.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV 1-96)

09/158,982

Arden H. Marschel

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.01 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

A dramatic shift in the way we think about energy efficiency is required if we are to move away from fossil fuels. We will need to re-apply our best brains to the problem.

$\lambda \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$

¹ The interviewee was asked to read through a typed transcript of the interview which contained a incomplete written statement of the responses presented at the interview. The writer of the transcript was not identified by the interviewee. An authority figure will check the necessity for response to Ombudsman letter specified in 66 U.S.C. § 1361.

Such responses to the notice of proceeding will be submitted to the Trademark Office for the 160-day period in writing. The procedure will afford of applicants and other interested parties an opportunity to present their case. The action of the Trademark and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record before the Office. It will be up to the party bringing the opposition to present information and justifications in relation to whether there is disagreement or doubt.

As you can see from the following table, the results are very similar. We have omitted the value of the χ^2 goodness-of-fit test and the value to two decimal places of every row.

By the responsibility of the right holder of the copyright, the author of the work or of each work, or by the author for the whole or for the parts, he has the exclusive right to do what he will do with his work, to examine its reproducibility, to copy it, to make it available to the public in any manner or form, and to prohibit any unauthorized use.

Examiners must complete a two sheet carbon indexed file copy & Summary Form for each interview held after January 6, 1978 where a "half" or substance has been discussed during the interview by marking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in that handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding purely procedural matters directed solely to technical requirements for which interview recondition is otherwise not provided for in Section 142.07 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recondition procedures below.

To interview, Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right-hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file which it is to be kept and serve regular carded and not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the form is removed and given to the lawyer and his attorney or agent at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next telephone communication. If the interviewee responds before the interviewer, no allowance is made for other circumstances dictate, the form should be mailed promptly after the telephone interview rather than with the next official communication.

If you can provide for a calculation of the following information:

Final Number of the application
Name of applicant
Name of examinee
Date of interview
List of interviewees (specify all "Yes/No")
Names of participants in the interview (specify all "Yes/No")
An associate who does not practice law or who has no right to practice law
Accident/incident of the claims discussed
Computation of the specific prior art disclosed
Information whether the examiner has received and/or used any other material or information in addition to the present application and if so, if a copy
is available, it shall be copied to the examining authority and transmitted to the examining authority by the examining authority
Signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
Agreement of the Plaintiff and Defendant to keep the interview secret

The formal analysis of the model is based on the assumption that all agents of the economy have identical knowledge about the dynamics of the economy.

For each health condition, the proportion of respondents who reported having been diagnosed with it at least once in their lifetime was calculated. In each case, lifetime both diagnosed and undiagnosed cases were included. The results are shown in Table 1. The following section describes the methods used to estimate the prevalence of each condition.

¹ It is important to note that the interviewee's responses were not always consistent with his/her self-reported level of performance of the interview. This may reflect the fact that the interviewee's self-reporting of his/her performance of the interview was based on his/her memory of the interview, while the interviewer's assessment of the interviewee's performance of the interview was based on his/her observation of the interview.

⁸ See, e.g., *U.S. v. Babbitt*, 100 F.3d 1250, 1255 (10th Cir. 1996) (“[A]n environmental impact statement is not a legally applicable document.”).

1. A description of the individual's strengths and weaknesses
 2. An indication of the level of risk
 3. An identification of the main risk factors
 4. An indication of the most important problems and areas of concern in the individual's life. These are often described as the interview summary form completed by the examiner.

In addition, the examiner may ask the applicant to provide written evidence of a particular need or problem. This may be a copy of a letter from a doctor, a statement from a social worker or other relevant authority. The point of asking for arguments is sufficient if the general nature of the need or problem is apparent from the interview. In this case, the examiner may accept a copy of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to keep these as fully as the basic application form, but this would be perfectly reasonable. The examiner

is entitled to make any other enquiry that he deems necessary.

The appropriate form of application for each of the three categories is already contained in the Appendix. It may be completed by the examinee.

Examiners are expected to complete the checklist in full, and to provide a detailed written report after interview. Examiners who do not complete or negotiate this exercise will give the individual a failing grade for the practical examination. Both the written and oral parts of the responses will be challenged to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the exercise.

Experiments for Authors

A particular difficulty is what to do if one of the interviewees refuses to acknowledge a statement as the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examinee during the interview. If there is an argument and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office-letter. If the claim is allowable, the examiner agrees to grant the application, and sends a letter setting forth his/her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the relevant interview record "OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

